


1 RON E. SHULMAN, State Bar No. 178263
ron.shulman@lw.com
2 TERRY KEARNEY, State Bar No. 160054
terry.kearney@lw.com
3 RICHARD G. FRENKEL, State Bar No. 204133
rick.frenkel@lw.com
4 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
5 140 Scott Drive
Menlo Park, CA 94025
6 Telephone: (650) 328-4600
Facsimile: (650) 463-2600
7

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC.

Gary M. Hoffman (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Kenneth W. Brothers (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Cathy Chen (*Pro Hac Vice*)
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1825 Eye Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006-5403
Phone (202) 420-2200
Fax (202) 420-2201
Email: hoffmang@dicksteinshapiro.com
brothersk@dicksteinshapiro.com
chenc@dicksteinshapiro.com

Krista Carter (State bar No. 225229)
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
700 Hansen Way
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1016
Phone (650) 690-9500
Fax (650) 690-9501
Email: carterk@dicksteinshapiro.com

Attorneys for Defendant
RICOH COMPANY, LTD.



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

18 IN RE RICOH COMPANY LTD.
19 PATENT LITIGATION

CASE NO. C 03-02289 JW

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER MODIFYING DUE DATE FOR
SYNOPSYS INC.'S OPPOSITION TO
RICOH'S MOTION FOR STAY OF
APRIL 26, 2012 ORDER PENDING
APPEAL AND FOR EXPEDITED
CONSIDERATION**

Date: June 18, 2012
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Judge: Hon. James Ware
Dept.: Courtroom 9, 19th Floor

1 WHEREAS, on April 26, 2012, the Court issued an order requiring Defendant Ricoh
2 Company, Ltd. (“Ricoh”) to pay to Plaintiff Synopsys, Inc. (“Synopsys”) the amount of
3 \$675,154.75 by May 25, 2012 (D.I. 790, “the April 26 Order”);

4 WHEREAS, Ricoh filed a Notice of Appeal on April 21, 2012 (D.I. 791) and a Motion
5 for Stay of April 26, 2012 Order Pending Appeal and for Expedited Consideration on May 23,
6 2012 (D.I. 793, "Motion for Stay");

7 WHEREAS, Synopsys opposes Ricoh's Motion for Stay;

8 WHEREAS, Synopsys's opposition to Ricoh's Motion for Stay is due on June 6, 2012;

9 WHEREAS, the parties are currently negotiating a compromise regarding Ricoh's
10 request for a stay of the payment of the \$675,154.75 award to Synopsys in order to avoid further
11 motion practice;

WHEREAS, as set forth in the accompanying declaration of Richard G. Frenkel, modification of the due date for Synopsys's Opposition to Ricoh's Motion for Stay will not impact the schedule for the case going forward;

15 WHEREAS, counsel for the parties have met and conferred and agreed upon an alternate
16 due date for Synopsys's Opposition to Ricoh's Motion for Stay as set forth below, which the
17 parties jointly and respectfully request that the Court adopt.

18 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED** by the parties pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civil
19 L.R. 6-2, that the due date for Synopsys's Opposition to Ricoh's Motion for Stay shall be June
20 11, 2012.

21 SO STIPULATED THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

Respectfully submitted,

23 | Dated: June 6, 2012

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

24

25

26

27

28

By: /s/ Richard G. Frenkel

Ron E. Shulman

Terry Kearney

Richard G. Frenkel

Attorneys for SYNOPSYS, INC.

1
2 Dated: June 6, 2012

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

3
4 By: /s/ Gary M. Hoffman

5 Gary M. Hoffman
6 Kenneth W. Brothers
7 Krista Carter
Cathy Chen


8 Attorneys for RICOH COMPANY, LTD.

9
10
11 **ORDER**

12 Based on the foregoing Stipulation and the accompanying Declaration of Richard G.
13 Frenkel, and good cause appearing,

14
15 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the due date for Synopsys's Opposition to Ricoh's
16 Motion for Stay is changed to June 11, 2012.

17
18 Dated: June 7, 2012



19 Hon. Chief Judge James Ware
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE